

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,554	11/25/2003	Russell J. Kempf	420.020	5841
7590 08/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Andrew S. McConnell			KOVACS, ARPAD F	
Boyle, Fredricks	son, Newholm, Stein & G	ratz, S.C.		
Suite 1030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
250 E. Wisconsin Avenue			3671	
Milwaukee, Wl	53202			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/721,554	KEMPF ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Árpád Fábián Kovács	3671 My/			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 No.	ovember 2003.				
l —		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
1	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	ion Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	armor. Note the attached Office	Action of 10111 F 10-132.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
_	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment		_				
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai	te atent Application (PTO-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )			
J.S. Patent and Tro PTOL-326 (Re		on Summary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 08122004			

Application/Control Number: 10/721,554

Art Unit: 3671

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 15 recites the limitation "the conveyor belt" in In 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-12, 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pruitt (5566535).

#### Pruitt discloses:

In re cls. 1-2, 10: A control assembly which is disposed relative to the pull-type windrower remotely (col. 2, ln 34-35; col. 4, ln 9), a housing of the controller (fig 4), a harness arrangement configured to electrically connect the controller (col. 8, ln 25-47);

Application/Control Number: 10/721,554

Art Unit: 3671

In re cls: 3-9, 11-12, 16-20: connectors, hydraulic lines, switches, valves to control the lifting, steering etc... (col. 5-9, col. 10, ln 1-12).

As applied to claim(s) 21-23, in view of the structure disclosed/taught by Pruitt, the method of operating/using the device is inherent since it is the normal and logical manner in which the device is used.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/721,554 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pruitt (5566535).

Pruitt discloses that the remote control, from the seat of the operator, of functions of the towed windrower (or cutter or swather) is not limited to tilting the angle, cutting crop, raising or lowering etc... but can encompass any other functions, therefore as recited in col. 10, ln 13-24, any other well known different functions can be encompassed, such as controlling conveyor drive assembly, speed of the windrower and so on.

Examiner takes Official Notice that since Pruitt teaches that the remote control means can be used for functions other than what is illustrated, and also as the Applicant proves this by reciting variety of different applicability for the control assembly, therefore controlling the operational functions of any known device would have been well within the skill of one familiar in this art at the time of the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3671

### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mayerle et al., Checkel, Robinson, Skibo, Panoushek et al., Inskeep, Stuchl.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Árpád Fábián Kovács whose telephone number is 703-308-5897. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703 308 3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Árpád Fábián Kovács Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

ÁFK